

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/527,855	03/17/2000	Shiri Kadambi	58268-09005	3720
32294 7590 12/13/2004 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT			EXAMINER	
			nğuyen, hanh n	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			2662	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/527,855	KADAMBI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hanh Nguyen	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica: - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da: - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed o 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for	☐ This action is non-final.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice u	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to not on the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/9/02. 	948) Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/527,855

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there is not descriptions for figure 56 which describes the claimed invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is not clearly stated on lines 7, 8 what is meant by "sending an indicator" and "receiving said indicator".

Claim 2 is rejected because it depends on claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2662

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 102(a) as being anticipated by Latif et al. (Pat. 6,393,483 B1).

In claims 1-4, Latif et al. discloses, in Fig.3, a switch 140 transmitting packets (first packets) from MAC1 (first source) via port 1 (ingress port/ first port) to a host A. When the port 1 is failed (indication), packet transmission from different source (second packets) is reassigned (switching indicator) to be transmitted to an active port 3 (third port/ second ingress port) or any least busiest port in a round robin teachnique (third port/ second ingress port). See Fiures 3, 4 and 8 & col.6, lines 47-67.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Johnson (Pat. 6301257 B1) discloses Method and Apparatus for transmitting data Frames between Switches in a Meshed data Network.

Hamami (Pat. 5,959,972) discloses Methof of Port /link Redundancy in an ATM Switch.

Morales (Pat. 5,987,526) discloses ATM Layer Automatic Protection Switching Mechanism for ATM PVCs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 306-5445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached on 571 272 3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hanh Nguyen

December 9/2004